

Congestion Avoidance using Traffic Load Balancing (CATLB) in Computer Networks

Ahmed Malik Khudhair¹, Khulood A. Nassar²

¹Department of Computer Information System, College of Computer Science and Information Technology, Basra, Iraq ²Department of Computer Information System, College of Computer Science and Information Technology, Basra, Iraq

Article Info ABSTRACT

Article history:

Received October 1, 2022 Revised October 25, 2022 Accepted November 2, 2022

Keywords:

Congation Avoidance Congestion Control Computer Networks Close Loop

Congestion in computer networks is one of the most crucial subjects in the world of networks since it has a significant influence on the network and the quality of service and decreased efficiency of it, which frequently results in service interruptions, In order to preserve the continuity of data flow in the network, it is necessary to design approaches and processes to avoid congestion or minimize its effects. Congestion is avoided at two levels, knot and link, by using different techniques, including close loop or open loop. This paper proposed a new method (CATLB) to avoid congestion by using the traffic load balancing technique, CATLB is based on the popular algorithm Round Robin in the process of distributing packets coming to a particular node on the links connected to it, which leads to the same destination to avoid congestion on one of the links and out of service and thus leads to more load on the other links until the network collapses.Method has been simulated using OMNET++ and got around 15% improvement in the performance from the most popular similar algorithms in this field ECMP and TinyFlow.

Corresponding Author:

Ahmed Malik Khudhair

Department of Computer Information System, College of Computer Science and Information Technology, Basra, Iraq

Email: itpg.ahmed.malik@uobasrah.edu.iq

Assist. Prof. Dr. Khulood A. Nassar

Department of Computer Information System, College of Computer Science and Information Technology, Basra, Iraq

Email: khulood.nassar@uobasrah.edu.iq

1. INTRODUCTION

Congestion control is a concern that has been researched for a long period of time becoming a part of internet users' daily lives. For instance, it might take five minutes to download a short film today and ten minutes tomorrow. They claim the network is congested when it takes ten minutes [1]. The concept of congestion is strongly related to the rate of data transmission compared to the time, and owing to the progress through the years in the field of networks and the rates of data transmission are increasing [2].

It is not easy to determine a specific number that represents the ideal value for the transmission of data packets, as it is different depending on a large number of factors, including the material used to transmit data (wired or wireless). The point that should be highlighted is that the necessity of providing the desired service within a specified period is what is required [3]. In modern computer networks, data center networks and communications in both types, whether wireless media or wired media, are built to handle a large volume of data transfer at the maximum feasible speed, therefore congestion control techniques and their mechanisms are very effective approaches. Without a good congestion control algorithm, congestion in such networks may have a significant impact on the network, causing it to stop often working [4].

Congestion control for a traffic load balance and packet switching over a computer network is being a big challenge due to the traffic sensitivity. These challenges represent a great motivation for researchers

over the past decades to develop a large number of protocols for congestion control and avoidance to secure traffic for moving packets from their source to their destination without data corruption or packet loss [5].

Many research's have board using of fuzzy logic to realize congestion control in computer networks. That as, Hanan M. Kadhim and Ahmed A. Oglah, in 2021[6], introduce fuzzy controller is used to control the nonlinear active queue management (AQM) model. This controller adjusts congestion of the computer network and commits controlled pressurized signaling features. Amritha V., Vismaya T. V., and others. in 2020 [7], analyze the performance of random early detection (RED) with fuzzy logic. The important objective of fuzzy logic is to reduce packet loss during data transmission. On other hand, neural networks are used to solve the problem of congestion control. Hence, Shimin Huang, in 2019 [8], refer to, two neural network models, Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), are introduced as congestion control algorithms based on cross-layer information in order to improve user throughput and utilize the available capacity as much as possible.

The main objective of this review is to identify and understand how congestion occurs, and review different techniques and mechanisms for congestion control including open loop and closed loop techniques, and proposed a new method (CATLB) to avoid congestion by using the traffic load balancing technique.

2. CONGESTION CONTROL

Congestion is a great significant issue in computer networks that is may affect negatively the networks, which leads to slowness networks and reduced QoS (Quality of Service), and even stop it in many cases.

Congestion is defined as a state of network elements where the network is unable to achieve network performance objectives for existing connections as well as new connection requests. It occurs when the amount of packets passing through a network segment is higher than usual; as a result, network performance decreases [10][12].

Congestion control refers to the set of actions taken by the network to minimize the intensity, spread, and duration of congestion or avoid it before it happens, such as communication links, buffers, and network switches. In other words, it refers to the machines and techniques that can either prevent congestion before it happens (congestion avoidance) or remove congestion after it happened [1][13]. One of the main objectives of congestion control is to allocate resources to shared networks. Therefore, it works on the network by several techniques to achieve an acceptable level of performance at networks and maximum bandwidth utilization [14].

3. CONGESTION OCCURRING

Congestion occurs when a network node or link is carrying data more than it can handle [11], and the load on the network is greater than the capacity of the network, in another word, It occurs when more data is sent to the network that the network cannot handle, where at some point, a point is generated in the network known as the bottleneck [15]. This point constitutes the main defect of the network, where the amount of data before this point is very large and after it is almost non-existent because the node or link is unable to deal with this data, thus causes a great slowdown in the network, and even stops it often. The congestion can be explained in the Figure. 1 and in below steps [5]:

- 1. The sender sends more data to the network than the network can handle.
- 2. Packet loss occurs at the receiver and the data is not completely received by the receiver.
- 3. The receiver asks the sender to send the data again.
- 4. A new load occurs on the network.
- 5. Thus, consecutive loads lead to network breakdown.



Figure 1. Congestion occurring

4. TYPE OF CONGESTION CONTROL MECHANISMS AND TECHNIQUES

There are mechanisms followed to control congestion by either avoiding it before it occurs or (removes) it after it occurs they are open loop and close loop that are summarized in the diagram Figure. 2 as below [1][16]:



Figure 2. Congestion control mechanisms and techniques

4.1 Open loop congestion control techniques

It is a set of policies designed to avoid congestion before it occurs, it can be referred as congestion avoidance or Prevention of congestion and it can be define as one of the most important aspects of congestion control. It includes a computer network that is meant to lessen the chances of congestion. By applying the algorithms of prevention and it ought to incorporate preventing congestion routing/balancing algorithms and congestion control policies so that the user access rate does not surpass its participation in the rate of traffic and the assurance of crucial classes of traffic [15], [17]. It is includes:

4.1.1 Retransmission policy

Retransmission congestion control policy is working to handle the retransmission of the packets in the networks. If the sender received confirmation from the receiver that a packet that was sent has been lost or corrupted. Of course, the sender needs to re-send the data again [18]. The network may become more congested because of the actions. So to prevent congestion from happen this policy take an action to rest the time of retransmit the packet when the network have available resource.

4.1.2 Discarding policy

A discarding policy is used by a node in the network when the node discards the less sensitive packages to maintain the quality of service of the network. For example, the real-time audio and video have higher priority than the text files, so the node must discard or let text in query and pass the real-time files. all that to avoid the congestion from happening [19]–[21].

4.1.3 Window policy

Window policy is working when the receiver has received some packets successfully but not all of them, so the data is need to be transmitted again. This duplication of the data has the potential to cause network congestion; the window policy works to send only the missing data to reduce the amount of transmitted packets [22].

4.1.4 Admission policy

In admission policy, the node is checks the resource that required that is needs to transmit it data to the next node. If that node does not have enough resource to handle the data, this policy takes action to select another node or make a load balancing between more than one nodes that have connection with the destination of the data, to prevent make a congestion point in the network which is known as the bottleneck , fully use network sources and maintain data flow in the network [23], [24].

4.1.5 Acknowledgment(ACK) policy

The acknowledgment policy is used when the sender is send packet to the receiver, the sender should have should have heard from the receiver if the packet was received normally or not. These ACKs is part of traffic in the network. If the ACKs is too many it has may cause congestion in the network [25]. Several techniques are used to avoid congestion related to ACKs:

- 1. Instead of sending ACK for a one packet, the receiver can send ACKs for N packets.
- 2. Send an ACK only if the receiver needs to send a packet again or if the timer expires.

4.2 Close loop congestion control techniques

Closed loop congestion control techniques are used to treat or alleviate congestion after it happens. The efforts taken by the network after the discovery of performance corruption are known as congestion recovery. When congestion occurs, the network will be recovered due to the activities that have related to its creation. The goal of congestion recovery is to reduce congestion's effects while also restoring the network's normal operating status following congestion detection. When congestion is identified, networks without congestion recovery techniques may be totally damaged. Therefore, there is still a need for recovery plans, regardless of the possibility that network congestion adopts a strategy to prevent congestion. The clarification behind this is to keep effectiveness in the occasion of sudden modifications inside the network, which may bring about congestion[17],[26], [27]. It's include:

4.2.1 Backpressure

Backpressure prevents a congested node from taking packets from the upstream node. As a result, the previous node or nodes may be congested and refuse to accept data from the node above. Only virtual circuits (VC's) with information about their upstream nodes can apply this congestion control

mechanism[28], which spreads in the reverse direction of data flow from node to node. In Fig. 3, the third node becomes congested and stops accepting packets, causing the second node to become congested as the output data flow slows. In the same way, the first node may become overburdened and notify the source to slow down[29].



Figuer 3: Close loop backpressure technique

4.2.2 Choke packet technique

A choke packet is a message delivered by a node to the source informing it that it is experiencing congestion. Each node keeps track of its resources and how many of its output lines are in use. When the resource use exceeds the administrator-defined threshold, the node sends a direct packet from the congestion node to the source, to give it feedback on how to minimize traffic. Fig. 4 shows that the intermediary nodes through which the packets have gone are congested and reported directly to the source to take action and slow down the transmission rate[30].



Figuer 4: Close loop choke packet technique

4.2.3 Implicit signaling

In implicit signaling, the congestion node and its source do not have any direct communication with each other. The sender estimate that there is congestion in a network. For an instance, one assumption is that there is congestion in the network and the sender needs to reduce the amount of sending packets when a sender sends multiple packets and does not receive an ACK for a long time. [31].

4.2.4 Explicit Signaling

In explicit signaling, if the node gets congestion it can send direct a packet to the source or destination of the data to inform them about congestion. The difference between a choke packet and explicit signaling is that with explicit signaling, the signal is embedded in the data packets rather than being created as a separate packet as with choke packet [31][32].

Explicit signaling can occurs two direction:

- 1. Forward Signaling: It is a signal that transmitted in the direction of the congestion. There is a congestion warning for the destination. In this instance, the receiver sets policies to avoid the future congestion.
- 2. Backward Signaling: Sent signal in the reverse direction of the congestion. Due to road congestion, the source has been urged to slow down.

5. PROPOSED METHOD

In this peper presents proposed approaches using traffic load balancing to avoid congestion before it has happened in end-to-end computer networks, which improves network performance and increases access to network resources in a complete and balanced manner.

Initially, the algorithms define all available paths to get from the source to the destination in a similar way to the work of the protocol Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol (TCP/IP). In order to take into consideration all the available paths for the algorithm work, according to the data passing through the network and the capacity of those paths. For that purpose, they are needed local information such as the (delay time and bandwidth) of links, or (rate of packet dropping, buffer wait time and throughput) of nodes.

The first proposed algorithm will be referred to as Congestion Avoidance using Traffic Load Balancing (CATLB). The CATLB is based on one of the most commonly used load balancing algorithms, which is Round Robin, which balances the loads equally between two or more parties. For example, suppose there are requests (r) from a group of computers that request a service from the network, and there is more than one server to provide this service, and that all of these servers are equal in capacity. The algorithm works to transfer requests to the servers sequentially and equally, as shown in the Figure 5 explains how the method CATLB works in one device.



Figuer 5: Illustration of the proposed method CATLB working in one device

In the same way that requests are distributed to servers in the previous example, packets are distributed to nodes according to the accessibility of the destination, where all the nodes and links have the same capacity. In the proposed algorithm, the processing is carried out extensively to include the entire network, where the entire path from source to destination is studied (end-to-end) in order to reduce the burden generated on one node without the other and prevent the network from reaching the state of congestion and the occurrence of a bottleneck.

When the packet arrives at a node that has more than one connection in the network, and all of connection paths lead to the destination, the algorithm directs the packet towards the idlest path of least use and this process continues until the package reaches the destination.

The Figure 6 is flowchart shows how the algorithm works in the network.



Figuer 6: Flowchart for the proposed method CATLB

Path detection: The sender knows the path to which the packet should be directed by knowing the possibility of this packet reaching its destination using the paths available in the node at the sender, and then chooses the path that is least used to distribute data equally between the paths to make a way to avoid the occurrence of crowding or an increase in the volume of data for a particular path.

6. NETWORK SIMULATION and EXPERIMENT RESULTS

In this section, a network simulator used in to simulate the computer networks that have been used proposed method in order to apply it. The simulator program designed by Objective Modular Network Testbed in C++ (OMNeT++) version (5.6.2). The OMNeT++ simulator is use a graphical user interface that buld on C++ program language. The proposed methods using simulate the computer networks (CN1, CN2) as shown in Figures (7) and (9). Figures (8) and (10) describes how to distribute packets for optimum use of network resources.



Figuer 7: Computer networks (CN1) simulated in OMNeT++



Figure 8: Distribute of packets to nodes for Computer networks (CN1)



Figuer 9: Computer networks (CN2) simulated in OMNeT++



Figure 10: Distribute of packets to nodes for Computer networks (CN2)

We notice from figure 10 that the nodes that have more links consume more of their resources, as is the case in the node_3_2 that handles five packets. It is the highest among its peers of the same level.

7. PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

This section reviews a performance comparison between our proposed method and other method that based on traffic load balance to solve the congetion issue in particular it is based on the Round Robin algorithm, or a development of it. We will compare our work with two algorithms TinyFlow and Equal Cost Multipathing (ECMP) [33].

Overall Performance of the flow: flow completion times (FCT) performance. From Figure 11 (a), CATLB minmuze the mean FCT for all flows by up to 20% vs to TinyFlow. The load is starting low with 0.1 and 0.2, the improvement is small and simple as the path is uncongested at the first but the benefits of using CATLB are larger when the load is going to grow and the possibility of having congestion is lager.

The Performance of Mice Flows: are the flow less than 100 KB as in the Figure 11 (b) show the mean of micro flow. We can note from it that the improvement has become clearer in the proposed algorithm than its predecessors have where the improvement rate is 20%-25%.

Performance of Elephant Flows: are the flow grater than 100 KB as in the Figure 11 (c) show the mean of Elephant flow. CATLB still improves the mean FCT by around 20%.











(c) Elephant flows (100, 1) KB.



8. CONCLUSION

Congestion control is a serious field of study in computer networks and communications. Congestion control systems are difficult to build with minimal resources. This paper provides a comprehensive overview of current congestion management methods. The goal of all of the strategies is to extend the network's lifetime by utilizing limited resources. This research paper reviewed congestion and how to get rid of it in various ways and mechanisms and then suggested a specific method to avoid congestion by balancing the network load (CATLB). CATLB obtained good results with an average of 20% of the previous algorithms.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Welzl, Network congestion control: managing internet traffic. John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
- [2] D. Cavendish, M. Gerla, and S. Mascolo, "A control theoretical approach to congestion control in packet networks," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 893–906, 2004.
- [3] T. G. Robertazzi, *Introduction to computer networking*. Springer, 2017.
- [4] S. Rajarajeswari and J. Sutha, "A Survey on Multimedia Streaming Congestion Control Mechanisms," Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Adv. Eng. Website www. ijetae. com (ISSN 2250-2459, ISO 9001 2008 Certif. Journal, Vol. 3, Issue 2, 2013.
- [5] C. N. Houmkozlis and G. A. Rovithakis, *End-to-end Adaptive Congestion Control in TCP/IP Networks*. CRC Press, 2017.
- [6] H. M. Kadhim and A. A. Oglah, "Congestion Avoidance and Control in Internet Router Based on Fuzzy AQM," *Eng. Technol. J.*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 233–247, 2021.
- [7] S. K. Singh, "Congestion control in LAN network using Fuzzy logic," *Int. J. Innov. Technol. Explor. Eng.*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 2278–3075, 2022.
- [8] S. Huang, "Cross-Layer Congestion Control with Deep Neural Network in Cellular Network." 2019.
- [9] E. N. . Obinna and L. G. ** , Kabari, "Comparative Analysis of Drop Tail, Red and NLRED Congestion Control Algorithms Using NS2 Simulator," *Int. J. Sci. Res. Publ.*, vol. 8, no. 8, 2018.
- [10] J. F. Kurose and K. W. Ross, Computer Networking A Top Down Approach, Eighth edi. 2020.
- [11] J. Chavula, "Improving Pan-African research and education networks through traffic engineering: A LISP/SDN approach," 2017.
- [12] K. A. Nasar, T. Y. Abdalla, and A. Y. Abdalla, "Computer Network Routing Using Fuzzy Systems," *basrah J. Sci.*, vol. 26, no. 1A english, 2008.
- [13] A. Y. Abdalla, T. Y. Abdalla, and K. A. Nasar, "Computer Network Routing Using Neural Networks," *Basrah J. Sci.*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2012.
- [14] X. Wang, Scheduling and Congestion Control for Wireless Internet. Springer, 2014.
- [15] K. A. Nassar and A. A. Abdullah, "End-to-End Fuzzy RED to Reduce Packet Loss in Virtual Circuit Network," J. Univ. Babylon, vol. 25, no. 3, 2017.
- [16] S. Gupta, N. K. Sharma, and K. P. Yadav, "A Survey on Congestion Control & Avoidance." VSRD-IJCSIT, 2012.
- [17] A. S. Tanenbaum and D. Wetherall, "The network layer," Comput. Networks, pp. 343–423, 2011.
- [18] J. Liu, H. Zou, J. Dou, and Y. Gao, "Rethinking retransmission policy in concurrent multipath transfer," in 2008 International Conference on Intelligent Information Hiding and Multimedia Signal Processing, 2008, pp. 1005–1008.
- [19] A. Pehlivanlı, "Software implementations of QoS scheduling algorithms for high speed networks." Middle East Technical University, 2015.
- [20] R. Kauser, "QoS aware packet scheduling in the downlink of LTE-Advanced networks." Queen Mary, University of London, 2013.
- [21] M. H. E. Mohamed, "Some active queue management methods for controlling packet queueing delay," *Des. Perform. Eval. Some New Versions Act. Queue Manag. Schemes Control. Pack. Queueing Delay a Buffer to Satisf. Qual. Serv. Requir. Realt. Multimed. Appl.*, 2010.
- [22] V. Jacobson, "Congestion avoidance and control," ACM SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev., vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 314–329, 1988.
- [23] S. J. Golestani, "A stop-and-go queueing framework for congestion management," in *Proceedings of the ACM symposium on Communications architectures & protocols*, 1990, pp. 8–18.
- [24] S. J. Golestani, "A framing strategy for congestion management," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 1064–1077, 1991.

IJICI	ISSN: 2791-2868		77
[25]	J. Waldby, U. Madhow, and T. V Lakshman, "Total acknowledgements (extended abst feedback mechanism for end-to-end congestion control," in <i>Proceedings of the</i>	ract) a 1 1998	robust ACM
	SIGMETRICS joint international conference on Measurement and modeling of comp 1998, pp. 274–275.	uter sys	stems,
[26]	DI. Choi and SM. Lee, "Performance analysis of the leaky bucket scheme with	queue 1	ength
	dependent arrival rates," Bull. Korean Math. Soc., vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 657-669, 2006.		
[27]	G. Appenzeller, I. Keslassy, and N. McKeown, "Sizing router buffers," ACM SIGCO.	ММ Со	mput.
	<i>Commun. Rev.</i> , vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 281–292, 2004.		
[28]	S. Bolla, "Implementation of virtual circuits as a switching fabric in virtual modulariz	ed netv	vork."
	University of Toledo, 2010.		
[29]	J. N. Odii, F. O. Nwokoma, T. U. Onwuma, and J. I. Eke, "NETWORK CONGESTION	I CONT	ΓROL
	SYSTEM USING FRAME RELAY TECHNOLOGY," 2017.		
[30]	JN. Hwang, Multimedia networking: From theory to practice. Cambridge university pr	ess, 200)9.
[31]	K. M. A. Patel and R. Martolia, "Congestion control techniques in networkin	g," in	2016
	International Conference on Communication and Signal Processing (ICCSP), 2016, pp.	1831-1	835.
[32]	A. Almeida and C. Belo, "Explicit congestion control based on 1-bit probabilistic marki	ng," Co	mput.
	Commun vol 33 pp $S_{30} = S_{40}^{1}$ 2010	U,	1

[33] J. Zhang, F. R. Yu, S. Wang, T. Huang, Z. Liu, and Y. Liu, "Load balancing in data center networks: A survey," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutorials*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 2324–2352, 2018.